

1

European Financial Reporting Advisory Group

INVITATION TO COMMENT ON THE EFRAG'S ASSESSMENTS OF THE AMENDMENTS TO IAS 32 AND IAS 1 "PUTTABLE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND OBLIGATIONS ARISING ON LIQUIDATION"

Comments should be sent to commentletter@efrag.org by 28 April 2008

EFRAG has been assessing the Amendments to IAS 32 and IAS 1 "Puttable Financial Instruments and Obligations Arising on Liquidation" (the amendments) against the criteria for endorsement set out in Regulation (EC) No 1606/2002 and has also been assessing the costs and benefits that would arise from their implementation in the EU.

A brief summary of the amendments is set out in Appendix 1.

EFRAG would welcome your views on the issues set out below. Please note that all responses received will be placed on the public record unless the respondent requests confidentiality. In the interests of transparency EFRAG will wish to discuss the responses it receives in a public meeting, so we would prefer to be able to publish all the responses received.

eivea.				
Plea	ase provide the following details about yourself:			
(a)	Your name or, if you are responding on behalf of an organisation or company, its name:			
	Boehringer Ingelheim GmbH			
(b)	b) Are you/Is your organisation or company a:			
	☐ User □ Other (please specify)			
(c)	Please provide a short description of your activity/ the general activity of your organisation or company:			
	research-driven pharmaceutical group of companies			
(d)	Country where you/your organisation or company is located:			
	Worldwide; headquarters in latin Germany			
(e)	Contact details including e-mail address:			
	Max Schrecklinger, Boehringer Ingelheim Gm bH,			
	Binger Straße 173, 55126 lysekheim an Rhein, Germany			
	Phine: +49/6732-77 3893			
	max. 1 chrecklinger @ boehringer-ingelham. com			

2	for e they	endorsement. In of meet the criteria	sment of the amendments is that they meet the technical criteria ther words, they are not contrary to the true and fair principle and of understandability, relevance, reliability and comparability, set out in Appendix 2.
	(a)	Do you agree wit	th this assessment?
		Yes	No
		X	
			ease explain why you do not agree and what you believe the is should be for EFRAG's endorsement advice.
	(b)	EFRAG should to	ssues that are not mentioned in Appendix 2 that you believe ake into account in its technical evaluation of the amendments? t are those issues and why do you believe they are relevant to
			ments provide only short-term rolution but
		no constate	it overall approach to the greation of
		de fining	equity,
3	imple work used	ement the amendr has been carried to complete the	ssing the costs that will arise for preparers and for users to ments both in year one and in subsequent years. Some initial out, and the responses to this Invitation to Comment will be work. The results of the initial assessment are set out in arise, the amendments will:
	(a)	and implement th	s incurring some year one costs—in order to read, understand ne new requirements—but that those costs will not be significant paragraphs 1-7);
	(b)	not involve prep Appendix 3 parag	parers incurring significant incremental ongoing costs (see graphs 1-7); and
	(c)	ongoing costs. In users by making	durring only insignificant incremental year one and no incremental deed, the amendments might reduce the ongoing costs to some it easier to understand and analyse the financial statements of instruments of the type addressed in the amendments (see graph 8).
	Do yo	ou agree with this	assessment?
	Yes	No	
	X		

	If you do not, please explain why you do not and (if possible) explain broadly what you believe the costs involved will be?
	Small or han-listed companies without large accounting
	departements could face relatively higher costs than
	biggy firms.
4	As EFRAG believes (as explained in Appendix 3) that the amendments will improve the quality of the financial information provided and its implementation will involve on an overall level additional costs that will not be significant, it has tentatively concluded that the benefits to be derived from applying the amendments will exceed the costs involved.
	Do you agree with this assessment?
	Yes No
	If you do not, please explain why you do not and what you think the implications should be for EFRAG's endorsement advice?
	please refu to 2(3)
5	EFRAG is not aware of any other factors that should be taken into account in reaching a decision as to what endorsement advice it should give the European Commission on the amendments.
	Do you agree that there are no other factors?
	Yes No
	If you do not, please explain why you do not and what you think the implications should be for EFRAG's endorsement advice?
	please refor to 2 (b)