

INVITATION TO COMMENT ON THE EFRAG'S ASSESSMENTS OF THE AMENDMENTS TO IAS 32 AND IAS 1 "PUTTABLE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND OBLIGATIONS ARISING ON LIQUIDATION"

Comments should be sent to commentletter@efrag.org by 28 April 2008

EFRAG has been assessing the Amendments to IAS 32 and IAS 1 "Puttable Financial Instruments and Obligations Arising on Liquidation" (the amendments) against the criteria for endorsement set out in Regulation (EC) No 1606/2002 and has also been assessing the costs and benefits that would arise from their implementation in the EU.

A brief summary of the amendments is set out in Appendix 1.

Please provide the following details about yourself:

EFRAG would welcome your views on the issues set out below. Please note that all responses received will be placed on the public record unless the respondent requests confidentiality. In the interests of transparency EFRAG will wish to discuss the responses it receives in a public meeting, so we would prefer to be able to publish all the responses received.

		_			
(a)	Your name or, if you are responding on behalf of an organisation or company, its name:				
	Freudenberg	& Co. Komma	nditgesellschaft		
(b)	Are you/Is your organisation or company a:				
	战 Preparer	□ User	□ Other (please specify)		
(c)	Please provide a short description of your activity/ the general activity of your organisation or company: The Freudenberg Group mainly operates as a supplier to other industries like the automotive, engineering or textile industry.				
(d)	Country where you/your organisation or company is located:				
	Germany				
(e)	Contact details including e-mail address:				
	Dr. Christian Germany, phon	Fink, Freu e: +49 6201	denberg & Co. KG, 69465 Weinheim, 80 4714, christian.fink∂freudenberg.de		

2	for e	ndorsement. In meet the criter	essment of the amendments is that they meet the technical criteria other words, they are not contrary to the true and fair principle and ria of understandability, relevance, reliability and comparability. is set out in Appendix 2.			
	(a)	(a) Do you agree with this assessment?				
		Yes	No			
		Ľχ				
			please explain why you do not agree and what you believe the this should be for EFRAG's endorsement advice.			
	(b)	EFRAG should	issues that are not mentioned in Appendix 2 that you believe take into account in its technical evaluation of the amendments? hat are those issues and why do you believe they are relevant to?			
		short-term s	e mentioned, that the amendments can only pose as a solution and do not have the character of a principles-base should be taken into account.	ased		
3	imple work used	ement the amen thas been carri I to complete the	sessing the costs that will arise for preparers and for users to adments both in year one and in subsequent years. Some initial ied out, and the responses to this Invitation to Comment will be the work. The results of the initial assessment are set out in imarise, the amendments will:			
	(a)	and implement	ers incurring some year one costs—in order to read, understand the new requirements—but that those costs will not be significant 3 paragraphs 1-7);			
	(b)		reparers incurring significant incremental ongoing costs (see ragraphs 1-7); and			
	(c)	ongoing costs. users by makir	ncurring only insignificant incremental year one and no incremental Indeed, the amendments might reduce the ongoing costs to some ng it easier to understand and analyse the financial statements of g instruments of the type addressed in the amendments (see ragraph 8).			
	Do y	ou agree with th	nis assessment?			
	Yes	No				
	×					

Especially for smaller preparers without a highly developed					
account	ing department the amendments could incur relatively highe han for bigger firms. In principle EFRAG's view can be				
As EFRAG believes (as explained in Appendix 3) that the amendments will improve the quality of the financial information provided and its implementation will involve on a overall level additional costs that will not be significant, it has tentatively concluded the benefits to be derived from applying the amendments will exceed the cost involved.					
Do you agree with this assessment?					
Yes	No				
y⊒					
be for EFRAG's endorsement advice? See 2 (b)					
a decision	EFRAG is not aware of any other factors that should be taken into account in reachir a decision as to what endorsement advice it should give the European Commission of the amendments.				
Do you ag	ree that there are no other factors?				
Yes	No				
K					
If you do not, please explain why you do not and what you think the implications shou be for EFRAG's endorsement advice?					
	(b)				
be for EFF	(b)				