

This paper has been prepared by the EFRAG Secretariat for discussion at a public meeting of the EFRAG Board. The paper does not represent the official views of EFRAG or any individual member of the EFRAG Board or EFRAG TEG. The paper is made available to enable the public to follow the discussions in the meeting. Tentative decisions are made in public and reported in EFRAG Update. EFRAG positions as approved by the EFRAG Board are published as comment letters, discussion or position papers or in any other form considered appropriate in the circumstances.

Post-implementation Review IFRS 9 *Financial Instruments* Update on Working Group Consultations

Preparatory Activities of EFRAG Secretariat

1 The EFRAG Secretariat has consulted the following working groups in order to collect information on issues that are to be considered by the IASB during the post-implementation review of IFRS 9. In addition, the EFRAG Secretariat had a meeting with the IFRS 9 Task Force of Accountancy Europe.

ACE IFRS 9 Task Force	10 February 2021
EFRAG Academic Panel	11 February 2021
EFRAG User Panel	16 February 2021
EFRAG FIWG	24 February 2021
EFRAG IAWG	2 March 2021

IFRS 9 is a complex standard

2 Both from users and academics the message was provided that IFRS 9 is very complex to understand as well as to compare the financial statements of entities that report under IFRS 9. Some consider therefore that the aim of increased transparency has not been fulfilled.

List of issues identified

3 The working groups have identified the following issues on classification, measurement, and hedging requirements of IFRS 9 for the post-implementation review of IFRS 9 and the DRM project. A short description of the issues can be found in Appendix I. Appendix 2 contains a list of academic literature provided by the Academic Panel.

	Issue identified	Working group	Working group opposing view
1	Classification and measurement		
1	Equity instruments measured at FVOCI without impairment and recycling – long term financing	Academic Panel FIWG, IAWG	User Panel
2	Sustainable finance – SPPI test	FIWG, ACE, IAWG	
3	SPPI – use of administrative rates – using rates other than benchmark rates	FIWG, Written input	

	Issue identified	Working group	Working group opposing view
4	Business model – boundary HTC /HTCS (liquidity buffers banks – loan syndicates)	FIWG, ACE, written input, IAWG	
5	Business model – sales - COVID	ACE, FIWG, IAWG	
6	Contractually linked instruments – non-recourse	FIWG, ACE, IAWG	
7	Reclassification and IFRS 5 – scope of IFRS 9	FIWG, ACE	
8	Credit risk	FIWG	
9	Variable rates	FIWG	
10	Comparatives – financial instruments derecognised at initial application	FIWG	
11	Prepayments	FIWG	
12	Modifications of cash flows	FIWG	
13	Treatment of equity instruments (puttable financial instruments)	Written input, IAWG	
14	Embedded derivatives	Written input	
15	Reporting gains on gross basis	Written input	
16	Benchmark test for last-reset rates due to IBOR reform	Written input	
17	Measurement of derivatives to meet obligations to policyholders	Written input	
18	Varia: dealing with COVID moratoria - accounting for TLTRO III – issues related to BMR	Written input	

Appendix I: Description of the issues

Issue 1 - Equity instruments measured at FVOCI

- In accordance with IFRS 9, entities can measure equity instruments at FVOCI. Gains and losses on these instruments cannot be recycled to P&L which does not permit to show the performance achieved in line with the long-term business model. The use of the FVOCI without recycling for equity instruments is seen by users as bringing useful information.
- 5 In contrast, preparers have a different view and note that the prohibition of recycling gains and losses on disposals into P&L may have detrimental effects on long-term investments. Moreover, a FVOCI measurement with no recycling is not relevant to measure performance of such instruments regards to their business model.

Issue 2 – Sustainable finance – SPPI test

- 6 IFRS 9 does not currently specify if sustainable products¹ should be account at fair value even when they fail the SPPI test as it may trigger additional regulatory capital considerations. Banks might be indirectly discouraged from mainstreaming this type of lending.
- 7 Incorporating ESG² factors and risks into the business model analysis and definition could improve the long-term business strategies to mitigate and reduce environmental harmful activities and promote environmentally sustainable activities. Preparers noted that the alignment of the accounting to the business model may have positive effects on long-term sustainable investments.

Issue 3 - SPPI test – use of administrative rates

- 8 It was noted that more and more financial instruments with so called administrated rates are being issued on the market. Due to the absence of term structure in such rates problems arise in coping with the SPPI test, triggering a need for further guidance (in addition to IFRS 9.B.41.9E).
- 9 In addition, some note the SPPI test receives too much focus in the standard: many loans to corporates and SME's and retail loans are priced using a mechanism other than relying on benchmark rates.

Issue 4 – Business model – boundary HTC/HTCS (held to collect/held to collect and sell)

Liquidity buffers of banks

Transfer between banking departments (written input)

10 In the context of liquidity management, an Investment Banking department may purchase on the wholesale market securities that are resold to the Group's Retail entities for liquidity portfolio management. The limitation of circumstances that are considered as reclassifications of financial assets generates mismatches between the valuation of securities purchased on the wholesale market and these same securities resold within the group. Securities that are valued at fair value in respect of the Investment Banking activity, can no longer be valued at amortised cost when they are transferred to Group entities or departments that intend to hold them for the purpose of a "hold to collect" business model. To be eligible for amortised cost, these securities would have to be purchased by the entities or departments directly on the market, in most cases at a higher cost. The fact not to recognise

¹ Green bonds, green loans, green deposit products etc.

² Environmental, Social or Governance characteristics that may have a positive or negative impact on the financial performance or solvency of an entity, sovereign or individual.

reclassifications of financial assets between departments or entities within a group does not accurately reflect the economic purpose of the transactions.

Reclassification in periods of stress

- 11 In cases of market stress the classification of these bonds can vary significantly depending on the business model chosen. It was noted that for financial assets part of a liquidity buffer of a bank the reclassification requirements in these circumstances are a too high hurdle and the change is very difficult to demonstrate to external parties.
- 12 It was suggested to identify the HTC business model as a default category, while FVPL would be redefined as trading.

Loan syndications (written input)

13 Concerning loan syndications, the objective for the bank is to define, prior to the syndication, the portion of the loans retained, and the portion of the loans sold, in order to apply to the former a "hold to collect" business model and to the latter a "collect and sell" or "hold to sell" business model. However, it is not uncommon that some of the loans that were initially to be sold are not sold and that, as a result, the bank decides to retain them and allocate them to "hold to collect" portfolio. In this case, these loans will have to be valued at fair value over their entire life excluding amortized cost measurement because of the initial intent. This does not correspond to the management objective of a "hold to collect" business model that will prevail until the end of the life of these outstanding loans.

Issue 5 – Business model – sales - COVID

- 14 Diversity in practice occurs on how to assess "frequent and significant sales" of financial assets under the business model held to collect.
- 15 In the context of COVID, more guidance is sought on how to assess changes in business models (whether sales of financial assets under the business model held to collect are permitted sales).

Issue 6 – Contractually linked instruments – non-recourse

- 16 IFRS 9 contains requirements (paragraph B.4.1.20 and following) for debt instruments issued in tranches whose terms create concentrations of credit risk and a special exception for loans that pay a negative interest rate. The payments on these financial assets are contractually linked to payments received on a pool of other instruments.
- 17 Diversity in practice is noted with application of the non-recourse guidance and contractually linked instruments. More detailed guidance is needed to resolve these inconsistencies in particular with regard to the scope of applying the "look through to" approach.
 - (a) Non-recourse vs contractually linked:

The contractually linked definition could be seen as very broad with no explicit guidance on what constitutes a tranche. In order to distinguish between nonrecourse financing and contractually linked, some believe it is necessary to consider the nature and substance of an arrangement.

(b) Interpretation of contractually linked guidance:

The contractually linked guidance requires the underlying pool to 'contain one or more instruments that give rise to cash flows that are solely payments of principal and interest on the principal amount outstanding'. The key question to some is what constitutes an 'instrument' for the purposes of contractually linked guidance.

- 18 The issue reported is also related with the reclassification requirements as it is argued by some that a change in processes would also qualify as a change in business model.
- 19 Also the look-through approach is considered difficult in some cases, as the required details are not available for every line of underlying investments.

Issue 7 – Reclassification and IFRS 5 – scope of IFRS 9

20 The measurement provisions of IFRS 5 scope out financial assets within the scope of IFRS 9. It is noted that the interaction between the strict reclassification requirements under IFRS 9 and the scope of IFRS 5 create issues in case where there is a restructuring. In such situations, losses are sometimes not recognised early enough.

Issue 8 – Credit risk

21 Diversity in practice is noted how entities disclose their credit risk exposure between financial assets measured at FVPL and those measured at FVOCI.

Issue 9 – Variable rates

- 22 It was noted that the IFRS 9 paragraphs B.4.5.5. and B.4.5.6 provide insufficient guidance to assess variables rates in some circumstances.
- Issue 10 Comparatives financial instruments derecognised at initial application
- 23 At transition, IFRS 9 cannot be applied to items that have already been derecognised at the date of initial application. Insurance entities applying IFRS 9 and IFRS 17 together as from 2023 would prefer to provide full comparative information on IFRS 9 requirements.

Issue 11 - Prepayments

- 24 Diversity in practice was noted in how entities apply the guidance on prepayment features with negative compensation.
- *Issue 12 Modifications of cash flows*
- 25 The guidance on modification of cash flows for financial assets is considered to be insufficient.

Issue 13 – Treatment of equity instruments

26 It was noted by some that the FVPL measurement of equity-type instruments such as funds introduces volatility that cannot be hedged. In addition, fair valuing certain untraded equities is considered difficult (companies whose value of shareholder equity is not equivalent to its liquidation value as a consequence of contractual agreements with shareholders or due to state regulations such as Mutual Guarantee Companies).

Issue 14 – Embedded derivatives

27 The lack of bifurcation of embedded derivatives on financial assets is noted to limit the possibility as a bank to act as a liquidity agent in issuances of own structured notes (as repurchasing the portfolio from clients does not pass the SPPI-test and hence leads to a FVPL measurement).

Issue 15 – Reporting gains on gross basis

- 28 The performance of the banks is not reflected when there is an obligation of the banks to allocate gains on gross basis to certain beneficiaries. In addition, those gains on debt instruments sold should be reported on a gross basis in the PL when such gains are not distributable to banks' shareholders.
- 29 According to some, this information is not useful enough mainly related to insurance activities.

Issue 16 – Benchmark test for last-reset rates due to IBOR reform (written input)³

- 30 Entities may identify the need to perform the SPPI benchmark test for significance of interest mismatches between:
 - (a) the last reset rates containing a time lag feature due to being calculated and known in advance at the start of the current interest period as averages of risk-free overnight rates over the previous interest period; and
 - (b) rates representing time value of money due to being calculated based on the risk-free rates development in the current interest period (known at the end of the period).
- 31 The issue would arise separately for:
 - (a) legacy portfolios which are subject to the IBOR rates replacements falling back to the last rest rates; and
 - (b) new portfolios where entities decide to use the last reset rates.
- 32 The issue raised is:
 - (a) whether and to what extent the need to perform the quantitative benchmark test arises and whether this brings any inappropriate burden to entities;
 - (b) whether there are any failures in the SPPI benchmark test resulting in non-SPPI financial assets measured at FVPL to the extent which entities would not consider as appropriate since they deem them as basic lending agreements from business perspective

Issue 17 - Measurement of derivatives to meet obligations to policyholders (written input)

33 As an alternative to the application of hedge accounting, the current classification and measurement requirements in IFRS 9 for derivatives could be reviewed to better reflect the risk management, in particular of the interest rate risk, that insurance companies have had in place for a very long time. Measuring all derivatives at FV-PL leads to volatility and is difficult to explain the performance when all the remaining investment portfolios of insurers will be measured at FV-OCI. As an alternative treatment, a specific scope of derivatives could be measured at FV-OCI if certain conditions are met.

Issue 18: Varia: dealing with COVID moratoria - accounting for TLTRO⁴ III – issues related to BMR^5

34 No further information provided.

³ The issue of application of the SPPI test to particular rates has been discussed at EFRAG TEG and FIWG in the course of drafting the comment letter on the Phase 2 IBOR exposure draft (ED/2020/1). EFRAG concluded that an assessment of such rates would go beyond the scope of the IBOR project and is rather a general issue in the context of SPPI assessment.

⁴ TLTRO : Targeted longer-term refinancing operations

⁵ BMR : Benchmark Regulation

Appendix II: List of Academic Literature identified by Academic Panel

Transition to IFRS 9 / general impact on value relevance

- 35 ElKelish, W.W. (2021) The International Financial Reporting Standards 9 financial instruments, information quality and stock returns in the modern technology era, Journal of Applied Accounting Research
- 36 Huttenhuis J, ter Hoeven R (2017) Gevolgen van invoering IFRS 9: Europese banken onder de loep. Maandblad Voor Accountancy en Bedrijfseconomie 91: pag. 29-28.
- 37 Huttenhuis J, ter Hoeven R (2018) De invoering van IFRS 9 bij Europese banken; Een vervolgstudie. Maandblad Voor Accountancy en Bedrijfseconomie 92(11/12): pag. 329-344.
- 38 Huttenhuis J, Bout B-J, ter Hoeven R (2019) IFRS 9 en Europese banken; het eerste toepassingsjaar verslagen. Maandblad Voor Accountancy en Bedrijfseconomie 93(11/12): pag. 343-359.
- 39 Loew, Edgar and Schmidt, Lisa E. and Thiel, Lars F, (2019) Accounting for Financial Instruments under IFRS 9 – First-Time Application Effects on European Banks' Balance Sheets. European Banking Institute Working Paper Series 2019 – no. 48.
- 40 Mechelli, A., & Cimini, R. (2020). The effect of corporate governance and investor protection environments on the value relevance of new accounting standards: the case of IFRS 9 and IAS 39. Journal of Management and Governance, pag. 1-26.
- 41 Mechelli, A., Sforza, V., & Cimini, R. (2020). Is IFRS 9 better than IAS 39 for investors' decisions? Evidence from the European context at the beginning of the transition year. *Financial Reporting*, 2020 (1), pag. 125-148.
- 42 Novotny-Farkas, Z. (2016) The Interaction of the IFRS 9 Expected Loss Approach with Supervisory Rules and Implications for Financial Stability, Volume 13, 2016 – Issue 2
- 43 Onali, E., & Ginesti, G. (2014). Pre-adoption market reaction to IFRS 9: A crosscountry event-study. Journal of Accounting and Public Policy, 33(6), pag. 628-637.
- 44 "<u>Analysis of the impact of IFRS 9 on the banking sector in the Czech Republic</u>" relating to the transition to IFRS 9 and the impact on the opening balance sheet of Czech banks as of 1.1.2018 (see separate file for English summary).

Classification and measurement

- 45 Albrahimi, A. (2020) Loan loss provisioning and market discipline: Evidence from IFRS 9 adoption
- 46 Bischof, J., Brüggemann, U., & Daske, H. (2014). Fair value reclassifications of financial assets during the financial crisis. SSRN Working Paper Series.
- 47 Bratten, B., Causholli, M., & Khan, U. (2016). Usefulness of fair values for predicting banks' future earnings: evidence from other comprehensive income and its components. Review of Accounting Studies, 21(1), pag. 280-315.
- 48 Fiechter, P. (2011). Reclassification of financial assets under IAS 39: impact on European banks' financial statements. Accounting in Europe, 8(1), pag. 49-67.
- 49 Fiechter, P., & Novotny-Farkas, Z. (2017). The impact of the institutional environment on the value relevance of fair values. Review of accounting studies, 22(1), pag. 392-429.

- 50 Lukeš, J., & Procházka, D. (2019) "Analýza dopadů IFRS 9 na bankovní sektor v České republice" (in Czech). Český finanční a účetní časopis, 2019(3):17–31
- 51 Paananen, M., Renders, A., & Shima, K. M. (2012). The amendment of IAS 39: determinants of reclassification behavior and capital market consequences. Journal of Accounting, Auditing & Finance, 27(2), pag. 208-235.
- 52 Thinggaard, Wagenhofer, Araceli, DiPietra & others (2006) Performance Reporting – The IASBs proposed formats for financial statements in the exposure draft of IAS, Accounting in Europe, vol. 3, 2006