

INVITATION TO COMMENT ON EFRAG'S ASSESSMENT ON Definition of a Business (Amendments to IFRS 3)

Once filled in, this form should be submitted by 4 March 2019 using the 'Comment publication link' available at the bottom of the respective news item. All open consultations can be found on EFRAG's web site: Open consultations: express your views.

EFRAG has been asked by the European Commission to provide it with advice and supporting material on *Definition of a Business (Amendments to IFRS 3)* ('the Amendments'). In order to do so, EFRAG has been carrying out an assessment of the Amendments against the technical criteria for endorsement set out in Regulation (EC) No 1606/2002 and has also been assessing the costs and benefits that would arise from their implementation in the European Union ('EU') and European Economic Area.

A summary of the Amendments is set out in Appendix 1 of the accompanying *Draft Letter to the European Commission* regarding endorsement of the Amendments.

Before finalising its assessment, EFRAG would welcome your views on the issues set out below. Please note that all responses received will be placed on the public record, unless the respondent requests confidentiality. In the interests of transparency, EFRAG will wish to discuss the responses it receives in a public meeting, so it is preferable that all responses can be published.

EFRAG's initial assessments, summarised in this questionnaire, will be updated for comments received from constituents when EFRAG is in the process of finalising its *Letter to the European Commission* regarding endorsement of the Amendments.

Your details

Please provide the following details:

1

(a)	Your name or, if you are responding on behalf of an organisation or company its name:
	Bayer AG
(b)	Are you a: x Preparer User Other (please specify)
	Preparer
(c)	Please provide a short description of your activity:
	Pharmaceuticals, Consumer Health, Crop Science
(d)	Country where you are located:
	Germany

Definition of a Business (Amendments to IFRS 3) Invitation to Comment on EFRAG's Initial Assessments

	(e)	Contact details, including e-mail address:
		Rolf.Funk@bayer.com
EFR.	\G's i	nitial assessment with respect to the technical criteria for endorsement
	criteri princi reliab EFRA	AG's initial assessment of the Amendments is that they meet the technical a for endorsement. In other words, the Amendments are not contrary to the ple of true and fair view and meet the criteria of understandability, relevance, ility, comparability and raises no issues regarding prudent accounting. AG's reasoning is set out in Appendix 2 of the accompanying <i>Draft Letter to the bean Commission</i> regarding endorsement of the Amendments.
	(a)	Do you agree with this assessment?
		x Yes □ No
		If you do not agree, please provide your arguments and what you believe the implications of this could be for EFRAG's endorsement advice.
		Yes
		Are there any issues that are not mentioned in Appendix 2 of the accompanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i> regarding endorsement of the Amendments that you believe EFRAG should take into account in its technical evaluation of the Amendments? If there are, what are those issues and why do you believe they are relevant to the evaluation?
		No
The E	Europ	ean public good
	EFRA accor	assessment of the impact of the Amendments on the European public good, AG has considered a number of issues that are addressed in Appendix 3 of the mpanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i> regarding endorsement of mendments.
Impro	veme	nt in financial reporting
	Amen the A which accor initial	AG has identified that in assessing whether the endorsement of the adments is conducive to the European public good it should consider whether mendments are an improvement over current requirements across the areas a have been subject to changes (see paragraphs 3 to 5 of Appendix 3 of the appending Draft Letter to the European Commission). To summarise, EFRAG's assessment is that the Amendments are likely to improve the quality of cial reporting.
	Do yo	ou agree with the assessment?
	x∐ Y	res □ No
	•	do not agree, please provide your arguments and indicate how this could EFRAG's endorsement advice.
	Yes	

Definition of a Business (Amendments to IFRS 3) Invitation to Comment on EFRAG's Initial Assessments

Costs and benefits

6

7

5 EFRAG is also assessing the costs that are likely to arise for preparers and for users on implementation of the Amendments in the EU, both in year one and in subsequent years. Some initial work has been carried out, and the responses to this invitation to comment will be used to complete the assessment.

The results of the initial assessment of costs are set out in paragraphs 8 to 11 of Appendix 3 of the accompanying *Draft Letter to the European Commission* regarding endorsement of the Amendments. To summarise, EFRAG's initial assessment is that the Amendments will not result in significant one-off costs for preparers, are likely to reduce the ongoing costs for preparers and are likely to be cost neutral for users.

Do you agree with this assessment?
x□ Yes □ No
If you do not, please explain why you do not and (if possible) explain broadly what you believe the costs involved will be?
Yes
In addition, EFRAG is assessing the benefits that are likely to be derived from the Amendments. The results of the initial assessment of benefits are set out in paragraphs 12 and 13 of Appendix 3 of the accompanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i> regarding endorsement of the Amendments. To summarise, EFRAG's initial assessment is that:
(a) preparers are likely to benefit from the Amendments, as the clarified definition and revised guidance have the potential to facilitate the assessment of whether an acquired set is a business or not; and
(b) users are likely to benefit from the Amendments as they have the potential to lead to more consistent classification of transactions as assets acquisitions or business combinations, and the information resulting from them will therefore increase comparability between entities and enhance users' analysis.
Do you agree with this assessment?
x□ Yes □ No
If you do not agree with this assessment, please provide your arguments and indicate how this could affect EFRAG's endorsement advice.
Yes
EFRAG's initial assessment is that the benefits to be derived from implementing the Amendments in the EU, as described in paragraph 6 above, are likely to outweigh any costs involved, as described in paragraph 5 above.
Do you agree with this assessment?
x Yes □ No
If you do not agree with this assessment, please provide your arguments and indicate how this could affect EFRAG's endorsement advice.
Yes

Definition of a Business (Amendments to IFRS 3) Invitation to Comment on EFRAG's Initial Assessments

Overall assessment with respect to the European public good

EFRAG has initially concluded that endorsement of the Amendments would be conducive to the European public good (see paragraphs 15 to 18 of Appendix 3 of the accompanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i>).
Do you agree with this conclusion?
x□ Yes □ No
If you do not agree, please explain your reasons.
Yes