

## INVITATION TO COMMENT ON EFRAG'S ASSESSMENTS ON ANNUAL IMPROVEMENTS TO IFRS Standards 2014-2016 CYCLE

Comments should be submitted by 17 March 2017 by using the 'Express your views' page on EFRAG website or by clicking <a href="https://example.com/here">here</a>

EFRAG has been asked by the European Commission to provide it with advice and supporting material on *Annual Improvements to IFRS Standards 2014-2016 Cycle* ('the Amendments'). In order to do so, EFRAG has been carrying out an assessment of the Amendments against the technical criteria for endorsement set out in Regulation (EC) No 1606/2002 and has also been assessing the costs and benefits that would arise from their implementation in the European Union (the EU) and European Economic Area.

A summary of the Amendments is set out in Appendix 1 of the accompanying *Draft Letter* to the European Commission regarding endorsement of the Amendments.

Before finalising its assessment, EFRAG would welcome your views on the issues set out below. Please note that all responses received will be placed on the public record, unless the respondent requests confidentiality. In the interests of transparency, EFRAG will wish to discuss the responses it receives in a public meeting, so it is preferable that all responses can be published.

EFRAG's initial assessments, summarised in this questionnaire, will be updated for comments received from constituents when EFRAG is in the process of finalising its *Letter to the European Commission* regarding endorsement of the Amendments.

## Your details

Please provide the following details:

` '	its name:
(a)	Your name or, if you are responding on behalf of an organisation or company

	no name.		
	Daimler AG		
(b)	Are you a:		
	□ Preparer □ User □ Other (please specify)		
(c)	Please provide a short description of your activity:		
	Car Manufacturer & Financing		
(d)	Country where you are located:		
	Germany		
(e)	Contact details, including e-mail address:		
	Gregor Hickel, gregor.hickel@daimler.com		

## EFRAG's initial assessment with respect to the technical criteria for endorsement

EFRAG's initial assessment of the Amendments is that they meet the technical criteria for endorsement. In other words, the Amendments are not contrary to the principle of true and fair view and meet the criteria of understandability, relevance,

	out ir	ility, comparability and lead to prudent accounting. EFRAG's reasoning is set Appendix 2 of the accompanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i> ding endorsement of the Amendments.	
	(a)	Do you agree with this assessment?	
		If you do not agree, please provide your arguments and what you believe the implications of this could be for EFRAG's endorsement advice.	
	(b)	Are there any issues that are not mentioned in Appendix 2 of the accompanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i> regarding endorsement of the Amendments that you believe EFRAG should take into account in its technical evaluation of the Amendments? If there are, what are those issues and why do you believe they are relevant to the evaluation?	
The	Europ	ean public good	
3	In its assessment of the impact of the Amendments on the European public good, EFRAG has considered a number of issues that are addressed in Appendix 3 of the accompanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i> regarding endorsement of the Amendments.		
Impre	oveme	ent in financial reporting	
Amendments is conducive to the European pul the Amendments are an improvement over cur which have been subject to changes (see accompanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Co.</i>		AG has identified that in assessing whether the endorsement of the adments is conducive to the European public good it should consider whether mendments are an improvement over current requirements across the areas a have been subject to changes (see paragraph 3 Appendix 3 of the mpanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i> ). To summarise, EFRAG's assessment is that the Amendments are likely to improve the quality of cial reporting.	
	Do yo	ou agree with the assessment?	
	X Y	es 🗌 No	
		u do not agree, please provide your arguments and indicate how this could EFRAG's endorsement advice.	
Cost	s and	benefits	
5	EFR/	AG is also assessing the costs and benefits that are likely to arise for preparers	

and for users on implementation of the Amendments in the EU, both in year one and in subsequent years. Some initial work has been carried out, and the responses to this invitation to comment will be used to complete the assessment.

Page 2 of 3

The results of the initial assessment of benefits and costs are set out in paragraphs 5 to 8 of Appendix 3 of the accompanying *Draft Letter to the European Commission* regarding endorsement of the Amendment. To summarise, EFRAG's initial assessment is that the benefits to be derived from implementing the Amendments in the EU are likely to outweigh any cost involved both for preparers and users.

⊠ Yes □ No
If you do not, please explain why you do not and (if possible) explain broadly what you believe the costs involved will be?
all assessment with respect to the European public good
EFRAG has initially concluded that endorsement of the Amendments would be conducive to the European public good (see paragraphs 9 to 12) of Appendix 3 of the accompanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i> ).
Do you agree with this conclusion?
⊠ Yes □ No
If you do not agree, please explain your reasons.