## Organismo Italiano di Contabilità – OIC (The Italian Standard Setter)

Italy, 00187 Roma, Via Poli 29 Tel. 0039/06/6976681 fax 0039/06/69766830 e-mail: <u>presidenza@fondazioneoic.it</u>

EFRAG 35 Square de Meeûs B-1000 Brussels BELGIUM commentletter@efrag.org

9<sup>th</sup> July 2013

Re: Draft endorsement advice on IASB *Amendments to IAS 39 – Novation of Derivatives and Continuation of Hedge Accounting* 

Dear Françoise,

We are pleased to provide EFRAG with our comments in order to contribute to the finalization of the EFRAG endorsement advice on the IASB Amendments to IAS 39 – Novation of Derivatives and Continuation of Hedge Accounting.

With regard to the EFRAG's technical assessment of the Amendments to IAS 39 against the endorsement criteria and the EFRAG's evaluation of the costs and benefits, we are in agreement.

Although we agree, on balance, with the conclusion reached by EFRAG TEG, we would like to stress the message, also included in your draft endorsement advice, that the amendment fails to fully meet because the comparability and understandability criterion. On this regard we would like to recall what was pointed out in our comment letter to ED that was not addressed by the IASB: "We do think that different types of novations, such as those described above, that are common and relevant in practice (e.g. after a business combination a new entity becomes the counterpart of an existing contract, succeeding to the old one) should be included in the scope of the amendments proposed".

Our responses to the invitation to comment raised in your Draft endorsement advice are provided in the Appendix to this letter.

If you have any questions concerning our comments, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Yours sincerely,

Angelo Casò (Chairman)

## **APPENDIX**

1

2

## Specific responses

Plea	ease provide the following details about yourself:	
(a)	Your name or, if you are responding on behalf of an organisation name: Organismo Italiano di Contabilità - the Italian Standards Setter	or company, its
(b)	Are you a: ☐ Preparer ☐ User X Other (please specify)	
	National Standards Setter	
(c)	Please provide a short description of your activity:	
(d)	Country where you are located:	
	Italy	
(e)	Contact details including e-mail address:	
	The Italian Standards Setter - OIC	
	Italy, 00187 Rome, Via Poli 29 Tel. +39(6)6976681 Fax +39(6)69766830 Email: presidenza@fondazioneoic.it	
tech of tr	RAG's initial assessment of the Amendments to IAS 39 is that hnical criteria for endorsement. In other words, they are not contrary true and fair view and they meet the criteria of understandability, releved comparability. EFRAG's reasoning is set out in Appendix 2.	to the principle
(a)	Do you agree with this assessment?	
	X Yes	
	If you do not, please explain why you do not agree and what implications of this should be for EFRAG's endorsement advice.	you believe the
(b)	Are there any issues that are not mentioned in Appendix 2 th EFRAG should take into account in its technical evaluation of the IAS 39? If there are, what are those issues and why do you b relevant to the evaluation?	Amendments to

	No, there a	ure not.			
3	on implementati subsequent yea Invitation to Com The results of L'origine riferin	assessing the costs that are likely to arise for preparers and for users on of the Amendments to IAS 39 in the EU, both in year one and in rs. Some initial work has been carried out, and the responses to this ment will be used to complete the assessment.  The initial assessment of costs are set out in paragraphs Errore. The initial assessment and Errore. L'origine riferimento non è of Appendix 3. To summarise, EFRAG's initial assessment is that			
	overall, the Ame to IFRS for first-t preparers. In ac	indments are likely to reduce the one-off costs at the date of transition time adopters and do not impact the ongoing costs of applying IFRS for addition, EFRAG's initial assessment is that the Amendments will not the costs for users.			
	Do you agree with this assessment?				
	X Yes	□ No			
		ease explain why you do not and (if possible) explain broadly what you involved will be?			
4	Amendments to paragraphs 2 to that the overall likely to outweight	RAG is assessing the benefits that are likely to be derived from the IAS 39. The results of the initial assessment of benefits are set out in 4 of Appendix 3. To summarise, EFRAG's initial assessment is that benefits of not derecognising the hedge accounting relationships are n costs associated with providing this information to users.			
	X Yes	□ No			
	If you do not agi	ree with this assessment, please provide your arguments and indicate affect EFRAG's endorsement advice?			
5	Amendments to	assessment is that the benefits to be derived from implementing the IAS 39 in the EU as described in paragraph 4 above are likely to sts involved as described in paragraph 3 above.			
	Do you agree wi	th this assessment?			
	X Yes	□ No			
		ree with this assessment, please provide your arguments and indicate affect EFRAG's endorsement advice?			

a decision as	t aware of any other factors that should be taken into account in reaching to what endorsement advice it should give the European Commission or ents to IAS 39.
Do you agree	that there are no other factors?
X Yes	□No
•	agree, please provide your arguments and indicate how this should affectorsement advice?