

INVITATION TO COMMENT ON EFRAG'S ASSESSMENTS ON Interest Rate Benchmark Reform (Amendments to IFRS 9, IAS 39 and IFRS 7)

Comments should be submitted by 10 October 2019 by using the <u>express your views</u> page on EFRAG website or by clicking here.

EFRAG has been asked by the European Commission to provide it with advice and supporting material on Interest Rate Benchmark Reform (Amendments to IFRS 9, IAS 39 and IFRS 7). In order to do so, EFRAG has been carrying out an assessment of Amendments against the technical criteria for endorsement set out in Regulation (EC) No 1606/2002 and has also been assessing the costs and benefits that would arise from their implementation in the European Union (the EU) and European Economic Area.

A summary of the Amendments is set out in Appendix 1 of the accompanying *Draft Letter to the European Commission* regarding endorsement of the Amendments.

Before finalising its assessment, EFRAG would welcome your views on the issues set out below. Please note that all responses received will be placed on the public record, unless the respondent requests confidentiality. In the interests of transparency, EFRAG will wish to discuss the responses it receives in a public meeting, so it is preferable that all responses can be published.

EFRAG's initial assessments, summarised in this questionnaire, will be updated for comments received from constituents when EFRAG is in the process of finalising its *Letter to the European Commission* regarding endorsement of the Amendments.

Your details

PΙ	Please provide the following details:				
(a)	Your name or, if you are responding on behalf of an organisation or company, its name:				
	International Swaps and Derivatives Association (ISDA Inc.)				
(b)	Are you a:				
	☐ Preparer ☐ User ☒ Other (please specify)				
	Trade Association				

(c) Please provide a short description of your activity:

Since 1985, the International Swaps and Derivatives Association has worked to make the global derivatives markets safer and more efficient. ISDA's pioneering work in developing the ISDA Master Agreement and a wide range of related documentation materials, and in ensuring the enforceability of their netting and collateral provisions, has helped to significantly reduce credit and legal risk. The Association has been a leader in promoting sound risk management practices and processes, and engages constructively with policymakers and legislators around the world to advance the understanding and treatment of derivatives as a risk management tool. Today, ISDA

Interest Rate Benchmark Reform (Amendments to IFRS 9, IAS 39 and IFRS 7) Invitation to Comment on EFRAG's Initial Assessments

has over 850 member institutions from 67 countries. These members comprise of a broad range of derivatives market participants, including corporations, investment managers, government and supranational entities, insurance companies, energy and commodities firms, and international and regional banks. In addition to market participants, members also include key components of the derivatives market infrastructure, such as exchanges, intermediaries, clearing houses and repositories, as well as law firms, accounting firms and other service providers. ISDA's work in three key areas – reducing counterparty credit risk, increasing transparency, and improving the industry's operational infrastructure – show the strong commitment of the Association toward its primary goals; to build robust, stable financial markets and a strong financial regulatory framework. Information about ISDA and its activities is available on the Association's web site: www.isda.org.

	(e)	Contact details, including e-mail address:
		Address: One Bishop Square, London, E1 6AD, UK Email: acorbi@isda.org
EFR	AG's	initial assessment with respect to the technical criteria for endorsement
2	endo true comp Appe	AG's initial assessment of the Amendments is that they the technical criteria for preement. In other words, the Amendments are not contrary to the principle of and fair view and meet the criteria of understandability, relevance, reliability, parability and lead to prudent accounting. EFRAG's reasoning is set out in endix 2 of the accompanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i> regarding preement of the Amendments.
	(a)	Do you agree with this assessment?
	(b)	Are there any issues that are not mentioned in Appendix 2 of the accompanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i> regarding endorsement of the Amendments that you believe EFRAG should take into account in its technical evaluation of the Amendments? If there are, what are those issues and why do you believe they are relevant to the evaluation?

The European public good

(d)

Country where you are located:

United Kingdom

In its assessment of the impact of the Amendments on the European public good, EFRAG has considered a number of issues that are addressed in Appendix 3 of the accompanying *Draft Letter to the European Commission* regarding endorsement of the Amendments.

Interest Rate Benchmark Reform (Amendments to IFRS 9, IAS 39 and IFRS 7) Invitation to Comment on EFRAG's Initial Assessments

EFRAG has identified that in assessing whether the endorsement of the Amendment is conducive to the European public good it should consider whether the Amendments

Improvement in financial reporting

are an improvement over current requirements across the areas which have been subject to changes (see paragraphs 3 to 5 of Appendix 3 of the accompanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i>). To summarise, EFRAG's initial assessment is that the Amendments are likely to improve the quality of financial reporting.
Do you agree with the assessment? XYes No
If you do not agree, please provide your arguments and indicate how this could affect EFRAG's endorsement advice. Costs and benefits
EFRAG is also assessing the costs that are likely to arise for preparers and for users on implementation of the Amendments in the EU, both in year one and in subsequent years. Some initial work has been carried out, and the responses to this invitation to comment will be used to complete the assessment.
The results of the initial assessment of costs are set out in paragraphs 6 to 13 of Appendix 3 of the accompanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i> regarding endorsement of the Amendments. To summarise, EFRAG's initial assessment is that the Amendments will not result in significant undue costs for preparers and for users they will likely be cost neutral.
Do you agree with this assessment? ☐ Yes ☐ No
If you do not, please explain why you do not and (if possible) explain broadly what you believe the costs involved will be?
In addition, EFRAG is assessing the benefits that are likely to be derived from the Amendments. The results of the initial assessment of benefits are set out in paragraph 14 to 17 of Appendix 3 of the accompanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i> regarding endorsement of the Amendments. To summarise, EFRAG's initial assessment is that the benefits resulting from the Amendments are likely to outweigh costs associated with their implementation.
Do you agree with this assessment? ☐ Yes ☐ No
If you do not agree with this assessment, please provide your arguments and indicate how this could affect EFRAG's endorsement advice.

7 EFRAG's initial assessment is that the benefits to be derived from implementing the Amendments in the EU, as described in paragraph 6 above, are likely to outweigh the costs involved, as described in paragraph 5 above

Interest Rate Benchmark Reform (Amendments to IFRS 9, IAS 39 and IFRS 7) Invitation to Comment on EFRAG's Initial Assessments

conducive to the European public good (see paragraphs 21 to 24 of Appendix 3 the accompanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i>). Do you agree with this conclusion? X Yes No	D	o you agree with this assessment?
how this could affect EFRAG's endorsement advice. Beractors EFRAG has identified a number of other factors that could be considered in assessi whether the endorsement of the Amendments are conducive to the European pubgood (see Appendix 3, paragraphs 18 to 20). Do you agree with the assessment of these factors? Yes No If you do not agree, please provide your arguments and indicate how this could affect EFRAG's endorsement advice. Do you agree that there are no other factors to consider in assessing whether the endorsement of the Amendments is conducive to the European public good? Yes No If you do not agree, please identify the factors, provide your views on these factor and indicate how this could affect EFRAG's endorsement advice. Berall assessment with respect to the European public good EFRAG has initially concluded that endorsement of the Amendments would conducive to the European public good (see paragraphs 21 to 24 of Appendix 3 the accompanying Draft Letter to the European Commission). Do you agree with this conclusion? Yes No		⊠ Yes □ No
EFRAG has identified a number of other factors that could be considered in assessi whether the endorsement of the Amendments are conducive to the European pub good (see Appendix 3, paragraphs 18 to 20). Do you agree with the assessment of these factors? Yes No If you do not agree, please provide your arguments and indicate how this could affect EFRAG's endorsement advice. Do you agree that there are no other factors to consider in assessing whether the endorsement of the Amendments is conducive to the European public good? Yes No If you do not agree, please identify the factors, provide your views on these factors and indicate how this could affect EFRAG's endorsement advice. Parall assessment with respect to the European public good EFRAG has initially concluded that endorsement of the Amendments would conducive to the European public good (see paragraphs 21 to 24 of Appendix 3 the accompanying Draft Letter to the European Commission). Do you agree with this conclusion? Yes No		
EFRAG has identified a number of other factors that could be considered in assessi whether the endorsement of the Amendments are conducive to the European pub good (see Appendix 3, paragraphs 18 to 20). Do you agree with the assessment of these factors? Yes		
whether the endorsement of the Amendments are conducive to the European pubgood (see Appendix 3, paragraphs 18 to 20). Do you agree with the assessment of these factors? Yes No If you do not agree, please provide your arguments and indicate how this could affect EFRAG's endorsement advice. Do you agree that there are no other factors to consider in assessing whether the endorsement of the Amendments is conducive to the European public good? Yes No If you do not agree, please identify the factors, provide your views on these factors and indicate how this could affect EFRAG's endorsement advice. rall assessment with respect to the European public good EFRAG has initially concluded that endorsement of the Amendments would conducive to the European public good (see paragraphs 21 to 24 of Appendix 3 the accompanying Draft Letter to the European Commission). Do you agree with this conclusion? Yes No	er fa	actors
Yes	W	hether the endorsement of the Amendments are conducive to the European public
If you do not agree, please provide your arguments and indicate how this could affect EFRAG's endorsement advice. Do you agree that there are no other factors to consider in assessing whether the endorsement of the Amendments is conducive to the European public good? X Yes No If you do not agree, please identify the factors, provide your views on these factors and indicate how this could affect EFRAG's endorsement advice. FRAG has initially concluded that endorsement of the Amendments would conducive to the European public good (see paragraphs 21 to 24 of Appendix 3 the accompanying Draft Letter to the European Commission). Do you agree with this conclusion? X Yes No	D	o you agree with the assessment of these factors?
affect EFRAG's endorsement advice. Do you agree that there are no other factors to consider in assessing whether the endorsement of the Amendments is conducive to the European public good? ☑ Yes ☐ No If you do not agree, please identify the factors, provide your views on these factors and indicate how this could affect EFRAG's endorsement advice. all assessment with respect to the European public good EFRAG has initially concluded that endorsement of the Amendments would conducive to the European public good (see paragraphs 21 to 24 of Appendix 3 the accompanying Draft Letter to the European Commission). Do you agree with this conclusion? ☒ Yes ☐ No		X Yes □ No
endorsement of the Amendments is conducive to the European public good? Yes \sum No If you do not agree, please identify the factors, provide your views on these factors and indicate how this could affect EFRAG's endorsement advice. **all assessment with respect to the European public good** EFRAG has initially concluded that endorsement of the Amendments would conducive to the European public good (see paragraphs 21 to 24 of Appendix 3 the accompanying *Draft Letter to the European Commission**). Do you agree with this conclusion? Yes \sum No		
endorsement of the Amendments is conducive to the European public good? Yes		
and indicate how this could affect EFRAG's endorsement advice. **rall assessment with respect to the European public good EFRAG has initially concluded that endorsement of the Amendments would conducive to the European public good (see paragraphs 21 to 24 of Appendix 3 the accompanying *Draft Letter to the European Commission*). Do you agree with this conclusion? X Yes \(\sum \) No		ndorsement of the Amendments is conducive to the European public good?
EFRAG has initially concluded that endorsement of the Amendments would conducive to the European public good (see paragraphs 21 to 24 of Appendix 3 the accompanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i>). Do you agree with this conclusion? X Yes No		
EFRAG has initially concluded that endorsement of the Amendments would conducive to the European public good (see paragraphs 21 to 24 of Appendix 3 the accompanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i>). Do you agree with this conclusion? X Yes \(\subseteq \text{No} \)		
conducive to the European public good (see paragraphs 21 to 24 of Appendix 3 the accompanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i>). Do you agree with this conclusion? X Yes No	all	assessment with respect to the European public good
X Yes □ No	CC	FRAG has initially concluded that endorsement of the Amendments would be onducive to the European public good (see paragraphs 21 to 24 of Appendix 3 of e accompanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i>).
	D	o you agree with this conclusion?
If you do not agree, please explain your reasons.		X Yes □ No
	lf	you do not agree, please explain your reasons.