

# INVITATION TO COMMENT ON EFRAG'S ASSESSMENTS ON LONG-TERM INTERESTS IN ASSOCIATES AND JOINT VENTURES (AMENDMENTS TO IAS 28)

Comments should be submitted by 16 February 2018 by using the 'Express your views' page on EFRAG website or by clicking here

EFRAG has been asked by the European Commission to provide it with advice and supporting material on *Long-term Interests in Associates and Joint Ventures* (Amendments to IAS 28) ('the Amendments'). In order to do so, EFRAG has been carrying out an assessment of the Amendments against the technical criteria for endorsement set out in Regulation (EC) No 1606/2002 and has also been assessing the costs and benefits that would arise from their implementation in the European Union ('the EU') and European Economic Area.

A summary of the Amendments is set out in Appendix 1 of the accompanying *Draft Letter to the European Commission* regarding endorsement of the Amendments.

Before finalising its assessment, EFRAG would welcome your views on the issues set out below. Please note that all responses received will be placed on the public record, unless the respondent requests confidentiality. In the interests of transparency, EFRAG will wish to discuss the responses it receives in a public meeting, so it is preferable that all responses can be published.

EFRAG's initial assessments, summarised in this questionnaire, will be updated for comments received from constituents when EFRAG is in the process of finalising its *Letter to the European Commission* regarding endorsement of the Amendments.

### Your details

(e)

| Pleas | se provide the following details:   |  |
|-------|---|--|
| (a)   | Your name or, if you are responding on behalf of an organisation or company its name: |  |
|       | Instituto de Contabilidad y Auditoría de Cuentas (ICAC)                               |  |
| (b)   | Are you a:  |  |
|       | ☐ Preparer ☐ User ☒ Other (please specify)  |  |
|       | Standard setter   |  |
| (c)   | Please provide a short description of your activity:                                  |  |
|       |   |  |
| (d)   | Country where you are located:  |  |
|       | Spain   |  |

Contact details, including e-mail address:

Long-term Interests in Associates and Joint Ventures (Amendments to IAS 28) Invitation to Comment on EFRAG's Initial Assessments

|       |  | Presidencia@icac.mineco.es   |
|-------|--|--|
| EFR/  | AG's i                                     | nitial assessment with respect to the technical criteria for endorsement   |
| 2     | criteri<br>princi<br>reliab<br>out in      | AG's initial assessment of the Amendments is that they meet the technical a for endorsement. In other words, the Amendments are not contrary to the ple of true and fair view and meet the criteria of understandability, relevance, ility, comparability and lead to prudent accounting. EFRAG's reasoning is set a Appendix 2 of the accompanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i> ding endorsement of the Amendments.   |
|       | (a)  | Do you agree with this assessment?   |
|       |  | ∑ Yes ☐ No   |
|       |  | If you do not agree, please provide your arguments and what you believe the implications of this could be for EFRAG's endorsement advice.  |
|       |  |  |
|       |  | Are there any issues that are not mentioned in Appendix 2 of the accompanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i> regarding endorsement of the Amendments that you believe EFRAG should take into account in its technical evaluation of the Amendments? If there are, what are those issues and why do you believe they are relevant to the evaluation?  |
|       |  |  |
| The I | Europ                                      | ean public good  |
| 3     | EFRA accor                                 | assessment of the impact of the Amendments on the European public good, AG has considered a number of issues that are addressed in Appendix 3 of the mpanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i> regarding endorsement of mendments.   |
| Impro | oveme                                      | nt in financial reporting  |
| 4     | Amen<br>the A<br>which<br>accor<br>initial | AG has identified that in assessing whether the endorsement of the adments is conducive to the European public good it should consider whether mendments are an improvement over current requirements across the areas a have been subject to changes (see paragraphs 3 to 6 of Appendix 3 of the appending Draft Letter to the European Commission). To summarise, EFRAG's assessment is that pending the outcome of the IASB's equity method rich project, the Amendments are likely to improve the quality of financial ting. |
|       | Do yo                                      | ou agree with the assessment?  |
|       | ⊠ Ye                                       | es 🗌 No  |
|       |  | do not agree, please provide your arguments and indicate how this could EFRAG's endorsement advice.  |
|       |  |  |

## Long-term Interests in Associates and Joint Ventures (Amendments to IAS 28) Invitation to Comment on EFRAG's Initial Assessments

### Costs and benefits

8

☐ Yes

☐ No

| 5     | users on implementation of the Amendments in the EU, both in year one and in subsequent years. Some initial work has been carried out, and the responses to this invitation to comment will be used to complete the assessment.   |  |  |
|-------|---|--|--|
|       | The results of the initial assessment of costs are set out in paragraphs 8 to 16 of Appendix 3 of the accompanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i> regarding endorsement of the Amendments. To summarise, EFRAG's initial assessment is that the Amendments are likely to result only in insignificant one-off and/or ongoing costs for preparers. The Amendments are also likely to result in some minor costs for users. |  |  |
|       | Do you agree with this assessment?  |  |  |
|       |   |  |  |
|       | If you do not, please explain why you do not and (if possible) explain broadly what you believe the costs involved will be?   |  |  |
|       |   |  |  |
| 6     | In addition, EFRAG is assessing the benefits that are likely to be derived from the Amendments. The results of the initial assessment of benefits are set out in paragraphs 17 to 20 of Appendix 3 of the accompanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i> regarding endorsement of the Amendments. To summarise, EFRAG's initial assessment is that users and preparers are likely to benefit from the Amendments.            |  |  |
|       | Do you agree with this assessment?  |  |  |
|       | ⊠ Yes □ No  |  |  |
|       | If you do not agree with this assessment, please provide your arguments and indicate how this could affect EFRAG's endorsement advice.  |  |  |
|       |   |  |  |
| 7     | EFRAG's initial assessment is that the benefits to be derived from implementing the Amendments in the EU, as described in paragraph 6 above, are likely to outweigh the costs involved, as described in paragraph 5 above.  |  |  |
|       | Do you agree with this assessment?  |  |  |
|       | ⊠ Yes □ No  |  |  |
|       | If you do not agree with this assessment, please provide your arguments and indicate how this could affect EFRAG's endorsement advice.  |  |  |
|       |   |  |  |
| 046   | or footors  |  |  |
| Ourie | er factors  |  |  |

Do you agree that there are no other factors to consider in assessing whether the

endorsement of the Amendments is conducive to the European public good?

## Long-term Interests in Associates and Joint Ventures (Amendments to IAS 28) Invitation to Comment on EFRAG's Initial Assessments

| •  |     | and indicate how this could affect EFRAG's endorsement advice.   |
|--|-----|--|
| conducive to the European public good (see paragraphs 22 to 25 of Appendix 3 the accompanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i> ).  Do you agree with this conclusion?   Yes   No | Ove | erall assessment with respect to the European public good  |
| ⊠ Yes □ No   | 9   | EFRAG has initially concluded that endorsement of the Amendments would be conducive to the European public good (see paragraphs 22 to 25 of Appendix 3 of the accompanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i> ). |
|  |     | Do you agree with this conclusion?   |
| If you do not agree, please explain your reasons.  |     | ⊠ Yes □ No   |
|  |     | If you do not agree, please explain your reasons.  |
|  |     |  |