

INVITATION TO COMMENT ON EFRAG'S ASSESSMENTS ON ANNUAL IMPROVEMENTS TO IFRS Standards 2015-2017 CYCLE

Comments should be submitted by 26 February 2018 by using the 'Express your views' page on EFRAG website or by clicking here.

EFRAG has been asked by the European Commission to provide it with advice and supporting material on *Annual Improvements to IFRS Standards 2015-2017 Cycle* ('the Amendments'). In order to do so, EFRAG has been carrying out an assessment of the Amendments against the technical criteria for endorsement set out in Regulation (EC) No 1606/2002 and has also been assessing the costs and benefits that would arise from their implementation in the European Union (the EU) and European Economic Area.

A summary of the Amendments is set out in Appendix 1 of the accompanying *Draft Letter to the European Commission* regarding endorsement of the Amendments.

Before finalising its assessment, EFRAG would welcome your views on the issues set out below. Please note that all responses received will be placed on the public record, unless the respondent requests confidentiality. In the interests of transparency, EFRAG will wish to discuss the responses it receives in a public meeting, so it is preferable that all responses can be published.

EFRAG's initial assessments, summarised in this questionnaire, will be updated for comments received from constituents when EFRAG is in the process of finalising its *Letter to the European Commission* regarding endorsement of the Amendments.

Your details

1	Please	provide	the to	ollowing	details:	
---	--------	---------	--------	----------	----------	--

(a)	Your name or, if you are responding on behalf of an organisation or company, its name:
	Munich Re, Munich
(b)	Are you a:
	□ Preparer □ User □ Other (please specify)
(c)	Please provide a short description of your activity:
	Reinsurance business
(d)	Country where you are located:
	Germany
(e)	Contact details, including e-mail address:
	Dr. Iris Röthemeyer, iroethemeyer@munichre.com

EFRAG's initial assessment with respect to the technical criteria for endorsement

EFRAG's initial assessment of the Amendments is that they meet the technical criteria for endorsement. In other words, the Amendments are not contrary to the principle of true and fair view and meet the criteria of understandability, relevance,

		t EFRAG's endorsement advice.
		u do not agree, please provide your arguments and indicate how this could
	⊠ Y	
	Do y	ou agree with the assessment?
4	Ame the which accon initian quali	AG has identified that in assessing whether the endorsement of the adments is conducive to the European public good it should consider whether Amendments are improvement over current requirements across the areas in have been subject to changes (see paragraphs 3 and 4 of Appendix 3 of the impanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i>). To summarise, EFRAG's assessment is that the Amendments may provide some improvements to the try of financial reporting, although, they may not eliminate all sources of sity under certain conditions.
Impi	ovem	ent in financial reporting
The 3	In its EFR. acco	pean public good assessment of the impact of the Amendments on the European public good, AG has considered a number of issues that are addressed in Appendix 3 of the mpanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i> regarding endorsement of amendments.
		n/a
	(b)	Are there any issues that are not mentioned in Appendix 2 of the accompanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i> regarding endorsement of the Amendments that you believe EFRAG should consider in its technical evaluation of the Amendments? If there are, what are those issues and why do you believe they are relevant to the evaluation?
		implications of this could be for EFRAG's endorsement advice.
		If you do not agree, please provide your arguments and what you believe the
	(a)	Do you agree with this assessment? ☐ Yes ☐ No
	rega	rding endorsement of the Amendments.

and for users on implementation of the Amendments in the EU, both in year one and in subsequent years. Some initial work has been carried out, and the responses to this invitation to comment will be used to complete the assessment.

Page 2 of 3

The results of the initial assessment of benefits and costs are set out in paragraphs 5 to 8 of Appendix 3 of the accompanying *Draft Letter to the European Commission* regarding endorsement of the Amendment. To summarise, EFRAG's initial assessment is that the benefits to be derived from implementing the Amendments in the EU are likely to outweigh any cost involved both for preparers and users.

	Do you agree with this assessment?				
	⊠ Yes □ No				
	If you do not, please explain why you do not and (if possible) explain broadly what you believe the costs involved will be?				
Ove	erall assessment with respect to the European public good				
6	EFRAG has initially concluded that endorsement of the Amendments would be conducive to the European public good (see paragraphs 9 to 12 of Appendix 3 of the accompanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i>).				
	Do you agree with this conclusion?				
	⊠ Yes □ No				
	If you do not agree, please explain your reasons.				