

## INVITATION TO COMMENT ON EFRAG'S ASSESSMENTS ON ANNUAL IMPROVEMENTS TO IFRS Standards 2015-2017 CYCLE

EFRAG has been asked by the European Commission to provide it with advice and supporting material on *Annual Improvements to IFRS Standards 2015-2017 Cycle* ('the Amendments'). In order to do so, EFRAG has been carrying out an assessment of the Amendments against the technical criteria for endorsement set out in Regulation (EC) No 1606/2002 and has also been assessing the costs and benefits that would arise from their implementation in the European Union (the EU) and European Economic Area.

A summary of the Amendments is set out in Appendix 1 of the accompanying *Draft Letter to the European Commission* regarding endorsement of the Amendments.

Before finalising its assessment, EFRAG would welcome your views on the issues set out below. Please note that all responses received will be placed on the public record, unless the respondent requests confidentiality. In the interests of transparency, EFRAG will wish to discuss the responses it receives in a public meeting, so it is preferable that all responses can be published.

EFRAG's initial assessments, summarised in this questionnaire, will be updated for comments received from constituents when EFRAG is in the process of finalising its *Letter to the European Commission* regarding endorsement of the Amendments.

## Your details

1 Please provide the following details:	
---	--

(a)	Your name or, if you are responding on behalf of an organisation or company, its name:
	Lothar Demant, Evonik Industries AG
(b)	Are you a:
	□ Preparer □ User □ Other (please specify)
(c)	Please provide a short description of your activity:
	Head of Accounting Principles & External Reporting
(d)	Country where you are located:
	Germany
(e)	Contact details, including e-mail address:
	Lothar Demant Phone +49 201 177-2814

		Fax +49 201 177-2898 lothar.demant@evonik.com
		Evonik Industries AG
		Rellinghauser Straße 1-11 45128 Essen
EFR	AG's	initial assessment with respect to the technical criteria for endorsement
2	crite princ relial out i	AG's initial assessment of the Amendments is that they meet the technical ria for endorsement. In other words, the Amendments are not contrary to the siple of true and fair view and meet the criteria of understandability, relevance, bility, comparability and lead to prudent accounting. EFRAG's reasoning is set in Appendix 2 of the accompanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i> right reduced by the Amendments.
	(a)	Do you agree with this assessment?
		⊠ Yes □ No
		If you do not agree, please provide your arguments and what you believe the implications of this could be for EFRAG's endorsement advice.
	(b)	Are there any issues that are not mentioned in Appendix 2 of the accompanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i> regarding endorsement of the Amendments that you believe EFRAG should consider in its technical evaluation of the Amendments? If there are, what are those issues and why do you believe they are relevant to the evaluation?
The	Euro	pean public good
3	EFR acco	s assessment of the impact of the Amendments on the European public good, AG has considered a number of issues that are addressed in Appendix 3 of the mpanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i> regarding endorsement of Amendments.
Impr	ovem	ent in financial reporting
Amendments is conducive to the European public good it shou the Amendments are improvement over current requirements which have been subject to changes (see paragraphs 3 and 4 accompanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i> ). To suinitial assessment is that the Amendments may provide some in		AG has identified that in assessing whether the endorsement of the ndments is conducive to the European public good it should consider whether Amendments are improvement over current requirements across the areas in have been subject to changes (see paragraphs 3 and 4 of Appendix 3 of the impanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i> ). To summarise, EFRAG's I assessment is that the Amendments may provide some improvements to the try of financial reporting, although, they may not eliminate all sources of sity under certain conditions.
	Do y	ou agree with the assessment?
	⊠ Y	es 🗌 No

If you do not agree, please provide your arguments and indicate how this could affect EFRAG's endorsement advice.

Costs	and benefits
5	EFRAG is also assessing the costs and benefits that are likely to arise for preparers and for users on implementation of the Amendments in the EU, both in year one and in subsequent years. Some initial work has been carried out, and the responses to this invitation to comment will be used to complete the assessment.
	The results of the initial assessment of benefits and costs are set out in paragraphs 5 to 8 of Appendix 3 of the accompanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i> regarding endorsement of the Amendment. To summarise, EFRAG's initial assessment is that the benefits to be derived from implementing the Amendments in the EU are likely to outweigh any cost involved both for preparers and users.
	Do you agree with this assessment?
	⊠ Yes □ No
	If you do not, please explain why you do not and (if possible) explain broadly what you believe the costs involved will be?
Overa	all assessment with respect to the European public good
6	EFRAG has initially concluded that endorsement of the Amendments would be conducive to the European public good (see paragraphs 9 to 12 of Appendix 3 of the accompanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i> ).
	Do you agree with this conclusion?
	⊠ Yes □ No
	If you do not agree, please explain your reasons.