

## INVITATION TO COMMENT ON THE EFRAG'S ASSESSMENTS OF THE IMPROVEMENTS TO INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL REPORTING STANDARDS

## Comments should be sent to <u>commentletter@efrag.org</u> or uploaded via our website by 17 June 2010

EFRAG has been asked by the European Commission to provide it with advice and supporting material on the *Improvements to International Financial Reporting Standards* ('the Amendments'). In order to do that, EFRAG has been carrying out a technical assessment of the Amendments against the criteria for endorsement set out in Regulation (EC) No 1606/2002 and has also been assessing the costs and benefits that would arise from their implementation in the EU.

A summary of the Amendments is set out in Appendix 1.

Please provide the following details about yourself:

1

Before finalising its two assessments, EFRAG would welcome your views on the issues set out below. Please note that all responses received will be placed on the public record unless the respondent requests confidentiality. In the interest of transparency EFRAG will wish to discuss the responses it receives in a public meeting, so we would prefer to be able to publish all the responses received.

(a)	Your name or, if your name: Bernd W		ng on behalf of an organisation or company,	
(b)	Are you/Is your org ☐ Preparer	ganisation or cor 赵 User		
(c)	Please provide a short description of your activity/ the general activity of organisation or company:  aroup accountant in a steel-producing company			

	(d)	Germany				
	(e)	Contact details including e-mail address:				
		Eisenhuettenstrasse 99, 38239 Salzgitter Wehke. b@salzgitter-ag. de				
2	crite: princ	AG's initial assessment of the Amendments is that they meet the technical ria for endorsement. In other words, they are not contrary to the true and fair siple and they meet the criteria of understandability, relevance, reliability and parability. EFRAG's reasoning is set out in Appendix 2.				
	(a)	Do you agree with this assessment?				
		∑ Yes				
		If you do not, please explain why you do not agree and what you believe the implications of this should be for EFRAG's endorsement advice.				
	(b)	Are there any issues that are not mentioned in Appendix 2 that you believe EFRAG should take into account in its technical evaluation of the Amendments? If there are, what are those issues and why do you believe they are relevant to the evaluation?				
3	imple initia	AG is also assessing the costs that will arise for preparers and for users to ement the Amendments, both in year one and in subsequent years. Some I work has been carried out, and the responses to this Invitation to Comment be used to complete the assessment.				
		results of the initial assessment are set out in Appendix 3. To summarise, AG's initial assessment is that the Amendments are:				
	(a)	likely to involve preparers in:				

year one incremental costs and insignificant ongoing costs for preparers in relation to the IAS 34 *Interim Financial Reporting* – Significant events

and transactions amendment;

- (ii) significant cost savings in year one in relation to the amendments to IFRS 1 First-time Adoption of IFRSs relating to (1) the use of deemed cost for operations subject to rate regulation and (2) revaluation basis as deemed cost.
- (b) likely to involve users in:
  - (i) insignificant incremental costs in year one and on-going insignificant costs in relation to IFRS 1 *First-time Adoption of IFRSs* Use of deemed cost for operations subject to rate regulation;
  - (ii) incremental benefits because the Amendment to IAS 34 *Interim Financial Reporting* Significant events and transactions will result in improved quality of information in the interim reports.

## Question to constituents:

Based on EFRAG's preliminary assessment, the IFRS 1 *First-time Adoption of IFRSs* - Use of deemed cost for operations subject to rate regulation is likely to subject users to insignificant year one costs. Do you agree that years one costs will be insignificant? If not, please explain why?

	with this assessment?
X Yes	□ No
	olease explain why you do not and (if possible) explain broadly whe costs involved will be?
information probe relatively sr Financial Repo Adoption of IFI operations sub the information	ents are likely to result in improvements in the quality of tovided. Taken individually, most of these improvements are likely nall; however, EFRAG believes that two amendments, IAS 34 Interpreting — Significant events and transactions and IFRS 1 First-TirRSs — Revaluation basis as deemed cost and Use of deemed cost opect to rate regulation, will have a noticeable effect on the quality a provided. Its initial assessment furthermore is that the benefits to pplying the amendments will exceed the costs involved (Appendix).
information probe relatively sr Financial Report Adoption of IFI operations subthe information derived from a paragraphs 17	ovided. Taken individually, most of these improvements are likely mall; however, EFRAG believes that two amendments, IAS 34 Interprting — Significant events and transactions and IFRS 1 First-TirRSs — Revaluation basis as deemed cost and Use of deemed cost opject to rate regulation, will have a noticeable effect on the quality provided. Its initial assessment furthermore is that the benefits to pplying the amendments will exceed the costs involved (Appendix
information probe relatively sr Financial Repo Adoption of IFI operations sub the information derived from a paragraphs 17	ovided. Taken individually, most of these improvements are likely mall; however, EFRAG believes that two amendments, IAS 34 Interpretation — Significant events and transactions and IFRS 1 First-TirRSs — Revaluation basis as deemed cost and Use of deemed cost opect to rate regulation, will have a noticeable effect on the quality a provided. Its initial assessment furthermore is that the benefits to pplying the amendments will exceed the costs involved (Appendix).

Do you agree tha	t there are no othe	er factors?
∑ Yes	□ No	
	ease explain why y RAG's endorsemer	you do not and what you think the implication at advice?