

This paper has been prepared by the EFRAG Secretariat for discussion at a public meeting of the EFRAG Board. The paper does not represent the official views of EFRAG or any individual member of the EFRAG Board or EFRAG TEG. The paper is made available to enable the public to follow the discussions in the meeting. Tentative decisions are made in public and reported in the EFRAG Update. EFRAG positions, as approved by the EFRAG Board, are published as comment letters, discussion or position papers, or in any other form considered appropriate in the circumstances.

# EFRAG Research Project Equity Instruments - Impairment and Recycling Cover note

## **Objective**

The objective of this session is to discuss a second draft of the discussion paper in relation to the second phase of the European Commission's ('EC') request and approve it for public consultation.

## **Background**

- At its September 2016 meeting, the EFRAG Board decided to add the project *Equity Instruments Impairment and Recycling* to EFRAG's research agenda.
- In May 2017, the EC requested EFRAG to investigate the potential effects of IFRS 9's requirements on accounting for investments in equity instruments on long-term investment. The request comprised of two phases. On 17 January 2018, EFRAG issued a report related to the first phase of the EC request.
- In the second phase of the project ('the possible solutions phase'), the EC requested EFRAG to assess, from a conceptual perspective, the significance of an impairment model to the re-introduction of recycling and how the impairment model under IAS 39 *Financial Instruments: Classification and Measurement* for equity instruments could be improved or propose other impairment approaches. The EC also requested EFRAG to consider if, in the absence of a robust impairment model, alternative presentation or disclosure requirements could be used to provide users with the necessary information to make the adjustments deemed necessary to the reported profit or loss.
- 5 To respond to the possible solutions phase request, EFRAG will:
  - (a) issue a discussion paper for public consultation;
  - (b) consider the input from constituents; and
  - (c) provide its technical advice to the EC.

#### Previous EFRAG TEG and EFRAG Board discussions

- 6 EFRAG TEG discussed the paper at its January 2018 meeting and agreed to recommend to the EFRAG Board its publication.
- 7 The EFRAG Board discussed the paper at its February 2018 meeting and decided that the consultation paper should:
  - (a) not express any preliminary views;
  - (b) include the request from the EC as an Appendix and clarify that the matters considered in the consultation paper are based on the scope of the EC request;
  - (c) better clarify that the revaluation model does not try to depict impairment losses; and

- (d) include a comment period longer than two months.
- 8 Moreover, the EFRAG Board asked the EFRAG Secretariat to redraft certain of the questions in a more neutral manner and to expand and clarify the analysis on enhancements to presentation and disclosure.
- The EFRAG Secretariat updated the paper for the above decisions and consequential amendments. The paper is provided as agenda paper 02-02. The EFRAG Secretariat included a deadline of 25 May for constituents to comment on EFRAG's discussion paper.

## Agenda papers

- 10 In addition to this cover note, the following papers have been provided for this session:
  - (a) agenda paper 02-02 *EFRAG's Research Discussion Paper on possible solutions* phase Board 18-02-19; and
  - (b) agenda paper 02-03 *EFRAG*'s *Research Discussion Paper on possible solutions* phase marked up for background Board 18-02-19.

### **Question for the EFRAG Board**

11 Does the EFRAG Board approve to issue the discussion paper for public consultation?