REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK – QUESTIONNAIRE

EQUITY INSTRUMENTS – RESEARCH ON MEASUREMENT

Why is EFRAG consulting?

As part of its <u>Action Plan on Sustainable Finance</u>, the European Commission ("EC") announced it would ask EFRAG to explore potential alternative accounting treatments to ("FV") measurement for long- term investment portfolios of equity and equity-type instruments.

In June 2018, EFRAG received a request for advice from the EC in relation to the accounting requirements for investments in equity instruments.

The request for advice is part of the EC's initiatives to orient capital flows towards investment in sustainable activities.

The request for advice asks EFRAG to consider alternative accounting treatments to measurement at fair value through profit or loss (FVPL) for equity instruments.

According to the request for advice, such possible alternative accounting treatments should serve the following objectives:

- properly portray the performance and risks of long-term investment business models, in particular for those equity and equity-type investments that are much needed for achieving the <u>UN Sustainable Development Goals</u> and the goals of the <u>Paris Agreement on Climate Change</u>;
- preferably enhance investors' insight in the long-term performance of investments, as
 opposed to recognising point-in-time market-based value changes in reported profit or
 loss during the duration of the equity investment.

The questionnaire

The EFRAG Secretariat background paper provides background information on the request for advice. It explains how the consultation relates to the EC's initiatives on sustainable growth, illustrates the accounting requirements in IFRS 9 and explores some possible alternative measurement approaches.

The possible alternatives in the background paper are to be considered as examples; respondents may suggest other measurement approaches that they consider appropriate.

Additionally, the background paper provides indications of how the concepts of 'long-term investment business model' and "equity-type instrument" may be considered in the context of the questionnaire.

In addition to submitting replies to the questionnaire, constituents can provide their input on the topic and ask questions about the survey by writing to:

Fredre Ferreira (fredre.ferreira @efrag.org), or Isabel Batista (isabel.batista @efrag.org).

Respondents are encouraged to respond to all questions but are not required to do so. EFRAG will still consider their answers.

EFRAG will disclose the responses, unless a respondent asks for confidentiality.

Please complete this survey by 5 July 2019

General information about the respondent

1) Name of the individual/ organisation
2) Country of operation
3) Job title
4) E-mail address
5) Are you currently engaging in a long-term investment business model?
() Yes () No
6) How do you define long-term investment business model?

- of them do you domine long term in recurrent business modern
- 7) Are you currently engaging in investment of sustainable activities?
- () Yes
- () No
- 8) How do you define sustainable activities?

Question 1

9. IFRS 9 allows an entity to account equity instruments either at FVPL or, if applicable, at fair value through other comprehensive income (FVOCI) without impairment and without

recognized through OCI ("IFRS 9 requirements" for equity instruments). When defining an accounting treatment alternative to IFRS 9 requirements for equity instruments held in a long-
term investment business model, which characteristics would you require to identify a <i>long-term</i> investment business model?
[] The characteristics/ business model of the investor
[] The expected holding period
[] The actual holding period
[] The long-term nature of the liabilities that fund the assets
[] Other
If you have indicated "Other" please provide details
Question 2
10. In your view, is an alternative accounting treatment to IFRS 9 requirements needed to properly portray the performance and risks of equity instruments held in a long-term investment business model?
() Yes
() No
Question 3
11. Explain the reasons for your reply to question 2, including the key operational challenges in developing a different accounting treatment to IFRS 9 requirements

reclassification ("recycling") to P&L upon disposal of valuation gains or losses previously

Question 4

12. With reference to equity instruments held in a long-term investment business model, if you support measurement at FV through other comprehensive income with reclassification to P&L upon disposal of the valuation gains or losses previously recognized through OIC (so called "recycling"), which impairment model would you suggest and how it would work in practice?

Question 5

13. Should the different accounting treatment be restricted to equity instruments held in a long-term investment business model?
For more detail, please refer to paragraphs 4.3 to 4.29 of the Background paper.
[] Yes [] No
14. Please explain your answer
Question 6
15. As per IFRS 9, equity-type of instruments, such as units of investment funds, do not meet the definition of equity instrument of IAS 32 Financial Instruments: Presentation, therefore are not eligible for the option to mesure them at fair value through comprehensive income ("FVOCI"). At the same time, they are not eligible for measurement at amortised cost (as they have contractual cash flows that are not Solely Payments of Principal and Interest, "SPPI" instruments). As such, IFRS 9 requires to account for them at FVPL; no FVOCI option is granted ("IFRS 9 requirements for equity-type instruments").
Should the different accounting treatment referred to in the previous questions be extended to instruments that are "equity-type"?
For more detail please refer to paragraph 4.30 to 4.39 of the Background paper.
[] Yes [] No
16. Please explain your answer
Question 7
17. If so, which characteristics would you require to define the "equity-type" instruments?
[] Units of funds and other instruments that meet the 'puttable exception' in IAS 32 [] The nature of the assets invested in [] Mutual funds [] Other
18. If you have indicated "Other" please provide details

Question 8

model, please rate how rel	evant a different accou	uments held in a long term investment busines inting treatment is to the objective of reducing c ustainable activities in Europe.
0	[_]	100
Question 9		
	nstruments and equity-	tify an accounting treatment different than IFRS type instruments held in a long-term investmen

The following pages include 7 illustrative examples of long term investment. For each scenario, you are invited to answer the questions on the page which follows.

Please consider that for Scenario A, B, C and D IFRS 9 requires to either measure the investment at FVTPL or to elect the option for measurement at FV through other comprehensive income, without reclassification to P&L, upon disposal, of the valuation gains or losses previously recognized through OCI, and without impairment.

Illustrative example A - Wind farm with predetermined useful life

21. For scenario A - In your view, is a different accounting treatment needed in order to meet the following two objectives? (i) properly portray the performance and risks of long-term investment business models, in particular for those equity and equity-type investments that are needed for achieving the UN Sustainable Development Goals and the goals of the Paris Agreement on Climate Change; and (ii) preferably enhance investors' insight in the long-term performance of investments, as opposed to recognising point-in-time market-based value changes in reported profit or loss during the duration of the equity investment.

() Yes
() No
If yes, please explain why.
22. Which element in the scenario is more relevant for your reply?
The sustainable nature of the investee's operationThe definite useful life of the investee's operationThe investor's inability to dispose of the shares
23. Which accounting treatments do you support?
() Historical cost
() Average fair value
() Adjusted cost
() Adjusted fair value
() Allocation-based approaches
() Existing requirements are appropriate
() Other

In case you would support an Accounting treatment other than the examples explored in the EFRAG Secretariat Background paper and/or you have selected "Other", please illustrate the accounting treatment you would support and why.

Illustrative example B - Unlisted single equity instrument

24. For scenario B - In your view, is a different accounting treatment needed in order to meet the following two objectives? (i) properly portray the performance and risks of long-term investment business models, in particular for those equity and equity-type investments that are needed for achieving the UN Sustainable Development Goals and the goals of the Paris Agreement on Climate Change; and (ii) preferably enhance investors' insight in the long-term performance of investments, as opposed to recognising point-in-time market-based value changes in reported profit or loss during the duration of the equity investment.

() Yes () No
If yes, please explain why.
25. Which element in the scenario is more relevant for your reply?
The fact that the shares are unlisted
The fact that the investor does not have a put option
The sustainable nature of the investee's operation
26. Which accounting treatments do you support?
() Historical cost
() Average fair value
() Adjusted cost
() Adjusted fair value
() Allocation-based approaches
() Existing requirements are appropriate
() Other
If you would support an Accounting treatment other than the examples explored in the EFRAG Secretariat Background paper and/or you have indicated "other", please illustrate the accounting treatment you would support and why.

Illustrative Example C - Open portfolio of equity instruments held with a view to service a long-term insurance liability

investments, as opposed to recognising point-in-time market-based value changes in reported profit or loss during the duration of the equity investment.
() Yes
() No
If yes, please explain why.
28. Which element in the scenario is more relevant for your reply?
The link to a long-term obligation (insurance contracts)
The fact that the entity holds a portfolio of equity instruments
The fact that the shares are unlisted
29. Which accounting treatments do you support?
() Historical cost
() Average fair value
() Adjusted cost
() Adjusted fair value
() Allocation-based approaches
() Existing requirements are appropriate
() Other
If you would support an Accounting treatment other than the examples explored in the EFRAG Secretariat Background paper and/or you have indicated "other", please illustrate the accounting treatment you would support and why.

27. For scenario C - In your view, is a different accounting treatment needed in order to meet the following two objectives? (i) properly portray the performance and risks of long-term investment business models, in particular for those equity and equity-type investments that are needed for achieving the UN Sustainable Development Goals and the goals of the Paris Agreement on Climate Change; and (ii) preferably enhance investors' insight in the long-term performance of

Illustrative Example D - Open portfolio of equity instruments held with a view to service a long-term liability

30. For scenario D - In your view, is a different accounting treatment needed in order to meet the following two objectives? (i) properly portray the performance and risks of long-term investment business models, in particular for those equity and equity-type investments that are needed for achieving the UN Sustainable Development Goals and the goals of the Paris Agreement on Climate Change; and (ii) preferably enhance investors' insight in the long-term performance of investments, as opposed to recognising point-in-time market-based value changes in reported profit or loss during the duration of the equity investment.

() Yes
() No
If yes, please explain why.
31. Which element in the scenario is more relevant for your reply?
The link to a long-term obligation
The fact that the entity holds a portfolio of equity instruments
The fact that the shares are unlisted
32. Which accounting treatments do you support?
() Historical cost
() Average fair value
() Adjusted cost
() Adjusted fair value
() Allocation-based approaches
() Existing requirements are appropriate
() Other
If you would support an Accounting treatment other than the examples explored in the EFRAG Secretariat Background paper and/or you have indicated "other", please illustrate the accounting treatment you would support and why.
Illustrative example E - Long-term investment held indirectly through a unit fund - listed
33. For scenario E - In your view, is a different accounting treatment needed in order to meet the following two objectives? (i) properly portray the performance and risks of long-term investment business models, in particular for those equity and equity-type investments that are needed for achieving the UN Sustainable Development Goals and the goals of the Paris Agreement on Climate Change; and (ii) preferably enhance investors' insight in the long-term performance of investments, as opposed to recognising point-in-time market-based value changes in reported profit or loss during the duration of the equity investment.
() Yes
() No
If yes, please explain why.
34. Which element in the scenario is more relevant for your reply?
The investor's assessment of the long-term nature of its investment

The listed feature of the fund
The investor's ability to redeem or sell
35. Which accounting treatments do you support?
() Historical cost
() Average fair value
() Adjusted cost
() Adjusted fair value
() Allocation-based approaches
() Existing requirements are appropriate
() Other
If you would support an Accounting treatment other than the examples explored in the EFRAG Secretariat Background paper and/or you have indicated "other", please illustrate the accounting treatment you would support and why.
Illustrative example F - Long-term investment held indirectly through a unit fund – non listed
36. For scenario F - In your view, is a different accounting treatment needed in order to meet the following two objectives? (i) properly portray the performance and risks of long-term investment business models, in particular for those equity and equity-type investments that are needed for achieving the UN Sustainable Development Goals and the goals of the Paris Agreement on Climate Change; and (ii) preferably enhance investors' insight in the long-term performance of investments, as opposed to recognising point-in-time market-based value changes in reported profit or loss during the duration of the equity investment.
() Yes () No
If yes, please explain why.
37. Which element in the scenario is more relevant for your reply?
The investor's assessment of the long-term nature of its investment
The unlisted feature of the fund
The investor's ability to redeem or sell
38. Which accounting treatments do you support?
() Historical cost

() Average fair value
() Adjusted cost
() Adjusted fair value
() Allocation-based approaches
() Existing requirements are appropriate
() Other
If you would support an Accounting treatment other than the examples explored in the EFRAG Secretariat Background paper and/or you have indicated "other", please illustrate the accounting treatment you would support and why.
Thank You!
Thank you for taking our survey. Your response is very important to us.