



## **INVITATION TO COMMENT ON EFRAG'S DRAFT ENDORSEMENT ADVICE ON TRANSFERS OF INVESTMENT PROPERTY (AMENDMENTS TO IAS 40)**

ICAEW welcomes the opportunity to comment on EFRAG's *Draft Endorsement Advice on Transfers of Investment Property (Amendments to IAS 40)* published in February 2017, a copy of which is available from this [link](#).

This response of 21 March 2017 has been prepared on behalf of ICAEW by its Financial Reporting Faculty. Recognised internationally as a leading authority on financial reporting, the Faculty, through its Financial Reporting Committee, is responsible for formulating ICAEW policy on financial reporting issues and makes submissions to standard setters and other external bodies on behalf of ICAEW. The Faculty provides an extensive range of services to its members including providing practical assistance with common financial reporting problems.

ICAEW is a world-leading professional accountancy body. We operate under a Royal Charter, working in the public interest. ICAEW's regulation of its members, in particular its responsibilities in respect of auditors, is overseen by the UK Financial Reporting Council. We provide leadership and practical support to over 145,000 member chartered accountants in more than 160 countries, working with governments, regulators and industry in order to ensure that the highest standards are maintained.

ICAEW members operate across a wide range of areas in business, practice and the public sector. They provide financial expertise and guidance based on the highest professional, technical and ethical standards. They are trained to provide clarity and apply rigour, and so help create long-term sustainable economic value.

Copyright © ICAEW 2017  
All rights reserved.

This document may be reproduced without specific permission, in whole or part, free of charge and in any format or medium, subject to the conditions that:

- it is appropriately attributed, replicated accurately and is not used in a misleading context;
- the source of the extract or document is acknowledged and the title and ICAEW reference number are quoted.

Where third-party copyright material has been identified application for permission must be made to the copyright holder.

For more information, please contact [representations@icaew.com](mailto:representations@icaew.com)

[icaew.com](http://icaew.com)

## **MAJOR POINTS**

1. ICAEW has reviewed EFRAG's Draft Endorsement Advice on Transfers of Investment Property (Amendments to IAS 40) and supports EFRAG's conclusions. The amendments should be endorsed as soon as possible.
2. We have submitted our comments on-line as requested. A copy of our responses is attached as an appendix.

## APPENDIX



European Financial Reporting Advisory Group

# INVITATION TO COMMENT ON EFRAG'S ASSESSMENTS ON TRANSFERS OF INVESTMENT PROPERTY

Comments should be submitted by 20 March 2017 by using the 'Express your views' page on EFRAG website or by clicking [here](#)

EFRAG has been asked by the European Commission to provide it with advice and supporting material on *Transfers of Investment Property (Amendments to IAS 40)* ('the Amendments'). In order to do so, EFRAG has been carrying out an assessment of the Amendments against the technical criteria for endorsement set out in Regulation (EC) No 1606/2002 and has also been assessing the costs and benefits that would arise from their implementation in the European Union (the EU) and European Economic Area.

A summary of the Amendments is set out in Appendix 1 of the accompanying *Draft Letter to the European Commission* regarding endorsement of the Amendments.

Before finalising its assessment, EFRAG would welcome your views on the issues set out below. Please note that all responses received will be placed on the public record, unless the respondent requests confidentiality. In the interests of transparency, EFRAG will wish to discuss the responses it receives in a public meeting, so it is preferable that all responses can be published.

EFRAG's initial assessments, summarised in this questionnaire, will be updated for comments received from constituents when EFRAG is in the process of finalising its *Letter to the European Commission* regarding endorsement of the Amendments.

## Your details

1 Please provide the following details:

(a) Your name or, if you are responding on behalf of an organisation or company, its name:

ICAEW

(b) Are you a:

a)  Preparer  User  Other (please specify)

Professional accountancy body

(c) Please provide a short description of your activity:

ICAEW is a world-leading professional accountancy body. We operate under a Royal Charter, working in the public interest. ICAEW's regulation of its members, in particular its responsibilities in respect of auditors, is overseen by the UK Financial Reporting Council. We provide leadership and practical support to over 146,000 member chartered accountants in more than 160

countries, working with governments, regulators and industry in order to ensure that the highest standards are maintained.

- (d) Country where you are located:

United Kingdom

- (e) Contact details, including e-mail address:

Chartered Accountants' Hall, Moorgate Place, London, EC2R 6EA, UK  
Email: [frfac@icew.com](mailto:frfac@icew.com)  
Telephone: +44 (0)20 7920 8100

### EFRAG's initial assessment with respect to the technical criteria for endorsement

- 2 EFRAG's initial assessment of the Amendments is that they meet the technical criteria for endorsement. In other words, the Amendments are not contrary to the principle of true and fair view and meet the criteria of understandability, relevance, reliability, comparability and raise no issues regarding prudent accounting. EFRAG's reasoning is set out in Appendix 2 of the accompanying *Draft Letter to the European Commission* regarding endorsement of the Amendments.

- (a) Do you agree with this assessment?

b)  Yes  No

- c) If you do not agree, please provide your arguments and what you believe the implications of this could be for EFRAG's endorsement advice.

- (b) Are there any issues that are not mentioned in Appendix 2 of the accompanying *Draft Letter to the European Commission* regarding endorsement of the Amendments that you believe EFRAG should take into account in its technical evaluation of the Amendments? If there are, what are those issues and why do you believe they are relevant to the evaluation?

### The European public good

- 3 In its assessment of the impact of the Amendments on the European public good, EFRAG has considered a number of issues that are addressed in Appendix 3 of the accompanying *Draft Letter to the European Commission* regarding endorsement of the Amendments.

### Improvement in financial reporting

- 4 In assessing whether the endorsement of the Amendments is conducive to the European public good EFRAG has considered whether the Amendments are an improvement over current requirements across the areas which have been subject to changes (see paragraphs 3 to 6 of Appendix 3 of the accompanying *Draft Letter to the European Commission*). To summarise, EFRAG's initial assessment is that the Amendments are likely to improve the quality of financial reporting.

- i. Do you agree with the assessment?

ii.  Yes  No

iii. If you do not agree, please provide your arguments and indicate how this could affect EFRAG's endorsement advice.

**Costs and benefits**

5 EFRAG is also assessing the costs that are likely to arise for preparers and for users on implementation of the Amendments in the EU, both in year one and in subsequent years. Some initial work has been carried out, and the responses to this invitation to comment will be used to complete the assessment.

iv. The results of the initial assessment of costs are set out in paragraphs 8 to 17 of Appendix 3 of the accompanying *Draft Letter to the European Commission* regarding endorsement of the Amendments. To summarise, EFRAG's initial assessment is that the Amendments will not result in increased costs to users or preparers.

v. Do you agree with this assessment?

vi.  Yes  No

vii. If you do not agree, please explain why you and (if possible) explain broadly what you believe the costs involved will be?

6 In addition, EFRAG is assessing the benefits that are likely to be derived from the Amendments. The results of the initial assessment of benefits are set out in paragraph 18 to 21 of Appendix 3 of the accompanying *Draft Letter to the European Commission* regarding endorsement of the Amendments. To summarise, EFRAG's initial assessment is that both users and preparers are likely to benefit from the Amendments.

viii. Do you agree with this assessment?

ix.  Yes  No

x. If you do not agree with this assessment, please provide your arguments and indicate how this could affect EFRAG's endorsement advice.

7 EFRAG's initial assessment is that the benefits to be derived from implementing the Amendments in the EU, as described in paragraph 6 above, are likely to outweigh the costs involved, as described in paragraph 5 above.

xi. Do you agree with this assessment?

xii.  Yes  No

xiii. If you do not agree with this assessment, please provide your arguments and indicate how this could affect EFRAG's endorsement advice.

**Other factors**

8 Do you agree that there are no other factors to consider in assessing whether the endorsement of the Amendments is conducive to the European public good?

xiv. X Yes  No

xv. If you do not agree, please identify the factors, provide your views on these factors and indicate how this could affect EFRAG's endorsement advice.

**Overall assessment with respect to the European public good**

9 EFRAG has initially concluded that endorsement of the Amendments would be conducive to the European public good (see paragraphs 23 to 26 of Appendix 3 of the accompanying *Draft Letter to the European Commission*).

**xvi.** Do you agree with this conclusion?

**xvii.** X Yes  No

**xviii.** If you do not agree, please explain your reasons.